## THE REITH LECTURES

**LECTURE 3: FREEDOM** 

**REITH LECTURER: ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER** 

First broadcast on BBC Radio 4 at 9am on Tuesday 20 September 2011.

Explore over 60 years of Reith Lectures by downloading the Reith Lectures Archive podcasts and lecture transcripts via the BBC Radio 4 website: <a href="http://www.bbc.co.uk/reithlectures">http://www.bbc.co.uk/reithlectures</a>

<b>EDWARD STOURTON:</b> Welcome to the last of the 2011 BBC
Reith Lectures. We are in the British Library in London, and
this great 1 of ideas is a fitting place to end what has
been a truly 2 Reith series.
The series is called 3 Freedom, and it began with
two lectures by the pro-democracy 4 Aung San
Suu Kyi which had to be smuggled out of Burma, 5
the realities behind what she had to say about dissent and the
6 for freedom and democracy.
Eliza Manningham-Buller, who closes the series today, has had
the job of 7 the freedoms that people in
democracies are lucky 8 to enjoy - she was
9 of Britain's security service MI5. What she has
10 said about the war on terror, about
11 and about Irag has become a

12	part of the public debate	÷ 13
by		
	1	

the 10 <sup>th</sup> anniversary of 9/11 which we have recently
14
We look 15 to equally provocative views on
the broader 16 policy questions she plans to address
today. Please welcome the BBC Reith Lecturer for 2011
Eliza Manningham-Buller.
(audience applause)
It's fair to say, Eliza Manningham-Buller, isn't it, that you are
17 to working in the shadows - at least discreetly -
and 18 by doing these lectures and by the things that
you've said in the 19 of the lectures, you've put
20 very much in the spotlight. Are you
21 there?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: Well the last lecture was in
Leeds, and I had a 22 the night before where the
cabinet 23 rang me on a mobile and said, "You mustn't
give this lecture, Eliza". And I said, "Why not?" He said, "You'll
be arrested and 24 under the Official Secrets Act."
(laughter) And as I very carefully said nothing secret, I woke
up in 25 a sweat.

EDWARD STOURTON: Does Sir Gus O'Donnell know that
he 26 in your dreams at all? (audience
laughter)
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: Well he doesn't and he
never has before. (audience laughter)
EDWARD STOURTON: Just making that absolutely clear for
the record. Are you aware also that you've 27
something of a star on Twitter?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: Well the BBC was kind
enough to give me a printout of Twitter. I don't have a Twitter
account, 28 I've asked one of my grandchildren to
sort me out one because I must move into the 21st
29 And I read all the comments with pleasure, 'til one
which said that I sounded like a Sat Nav.
(audience laughter)
EDWARD STOURTON: Well I think for the 30 of the
audience, let me just share a 31 of others. I mean
firstly, clearly, dogs up and down the land are going un-walked
because people are waiting in to hear your lecture. "Can't walk
away from my radio" is one that's come to us. You're also
32 as "awesome" and as "a 33

ruling diva". *(laughs)* I'll leave that 34..... with you. Let's not keep your fans waiting. Eliza Manningham-Buller, let's hear your lecture.

## (audience applause)

virtual, amorphous, franchised and 49 by
geography. It has 50 people from all over the
51 It understands the 52 of images, both
in its 53 of terror and in its recruitment and
proselytizing material. It skillfully 54 the
instant communications and 55.
networking of the IT age. I think it also
understands some of the vulnerability of the West: its appetite
for news, its short-termism, its instant 56and
the 57 on its governments to respond to terrorism
and the limited 58 open to them.
When I 59 the security service, there was no
internet, international travel was expensive, there was 60.
migration, borders were not
61porous and communications were usually by a
fixed line telephone or a letter. I can remember special kettles
being 62for steaming open letters. That will no
longer 63 The democratic state can no longer
64 on its old tools to collect the intelligence it needs to
protect itself. It will always 65 to recruit human
66 to provide 67 information, but it also
needs, 68 to proper controls, oversight and legal
69 by using the
71powerful technologies to react 72 and to
keep it one step 73 The terrorist now has at

his 74..... tools which were once the 75.....

preserve of the state. He has more 76 means
of conspiring, mobilising and causing 77 and
78 So what it is ethical, necessary and
79 for the state to do in response cannot be
set in 80
I have known throughout my career that, 81
professional security and intelligence agencies working with
the 82 may be, and whatever success they
may have in preventing terrorist 83, they can't
stop everything. Similarly, however 84 the
terrorists may be, they will suffer attrition, 85,
arrest and imprisonment as well as death.
Success for us will not be the 86 of terror but less
of it, with 87 deaths and a dwindling
88 of new recruits. And that success is not
89 to come from military 90 or
from security, intelligence and police work alone, but from
long-term political and economic initiatives 91 at
reducing the causes of terrorism and countering the
92 ideology in order to seek the peace and
reconciliation that has been so 93 in South Africa.
Conciliation is never easy, sometimes impossible, but it's
always 94 trying. Security and intelligence
work can play a 95 role in creating 96

for the political process which is central to that, but it cannot 97...... it.

So what 98 these political initiatives be? Some
of the 99 may be found in the Arab Spring. This
year, 100 by the self-immolation of a Tunisian
street trader, we've seen people in North Africa and the Middle
East take to the streets - and sometimes to arms - in protest at
the conditions under which they live. The list is long: Tunisia,
Algeria, Lebanon, Jordan, Sudan, Oman, Saudi Arabia, Egypt,
Syria, Morocco, Yemen, Bahrain, Kuwait and of course Libya.
Conditions in these countries 101 vary but the
protesters have one thing in common, simply
102 by one of the leaders of the Syrian
protesters: "We want what you have - freedom." They are
protesting in many cases at venal 103, at absolute
104, at lack of human rights, at lack of freedom and
association. They also, of course, want jobs, 105
and education, and some share of the material
106, which, where it exists, is too 107
monopolised by their rulers. Their passion for freedom shines
out, 108 by the visibility offered by the internet and
promoted by social networks. They are prepared to risk their
lives for the freedom we 109
The Arab Spring raises 110 questions about al-

Qae	da's 111 It has not been able to respond
conv	vincingly to the 112 demand for change,
113.	its adroit use of technology and the media. Al-
Qae	da's version of the ideal Islamic government seems to
have	e had little 114
The	Arab Spring also 115 shows the hollowness
of th	ne 116 comments I have too often heard, that
peop	ple 117 do not want democracy, and with no
tradi	ition of it, would not know how to practise it. There were
simi	lar patronising comments made about the countries of
East	tern Europe when the Soviet Empire118
up a	and the Berlin Wall came down. There is also, among
som	e, an assumption that any government replacing a
dicta	atorship will become corrupt and 119,
subj	ect to malign influences. But the fact that democracy often
has	a 120 birth means that we should offer
121.	where that is practical.
Our	foreign policy must never forget that 122 for
free	dom. It must encourage it, both to meet the wishes of those
who	123 it and for our 124 long-term self-
inter	est. 125 inevitably short-term interests will
intru	de. Every now and 126, governments
asse	ert the 127for an ethical foreign policy. That
laud	able 128 usually bumps up

against the reality that many countries of the world are
129 by unscrupulous autocrats who use every
130 to hold on to power. They have little
131 for the people they govern and often
132 power only by imprisoning their opponents and
133 their armed forces. 134 of these
countries are vital to our economic and security interests.
Unfortunately there is no point talking only to our friends and
135 The world is a messy place and we need to
136 with the people in power.
From my own 137 in the security service, I know that
protecting British citizens would be impossible if we were
restricted to talking to those whose 138 we share. I
can remember plots to attack us, for example, with
139 to Indonesia, Somalia, the Philippines,
Kenya, Algeria, Jordan, and, of 140, most importantly,
Pakistan. That list is not comprehensive. We cannot just talk to
the Swiss however enjoyable and 141 that might
be.
So what then of the contentious rapprochement with Gaddafi in
2003? I do not think that it was 142 in principle.
The prize was his abandonment of his programme for nuclear
and chemical weapons. Gaddafi is the man, as I know from
personal experience, who 143 explosives, arms and
144to the Provisional IRA,

indirectly causing the deaths of many of the victims of Irish
terrorism, as well as being 145 himself for a
146 series of atrocities. They included the
murder of the London police officer Yvonne Fletcher and,
notably, Lockerbie.
That areal! Coattials towns was 4.47
That small Scottish town was 147 I lived for
several weeks, as we and the police tried to 148
together what had happened and start the 149 to
find the culprits. The people of Lockerbie provided us with
generous helpings of home-made food as we began the slow
and 150 investigation to understand why and
how 270 people, mainly American students flying home for
Christmas, had met their death, and to work 151
a prosecution of those responsible. I can still see the ashen
faces of young service personnel and police officers as they
returned to the school, the 152 police
headquarters, after long days searching for body parts and
wreckage strewn over a vast 153 Gaddafi's was a bruta
154 and his own people suffered most of all.
155 in 2003 the Government made the difficult
but, I think, 156 decision to open talks. Had Gaddafi made
progress with his nuclear and other programmes, he could still be in
power today and 157 us. There are

clearly 158 to be answered about the
various 159 that developed afterwards and
160 the UK supped with a sufficiently long
spoon. I cannot say 161 I expect the Gibson
Inquiry will address these 162
It is right to use all our diplomatic 163 to
encourage dictators to grant their people freedom. For we can
surely 164 that participation in government, the
belief at least that you can have some say, however slight, in
how you are governed, that people's lives can be 165
and their rights protected, reduces the need for terrorism.
Look at Northern Ireland, where 166 terrorists are in
government. We should welcome this, not damn it. Look at
many of our former colonies, whose first leaders had been
167 by us for terrorism. Look at Mandela and the
ANC which used terror tactics when it was in 168
In Northern Ireland, the Provisional IRA decided - partly as a
169 of intelligence successes against them - that
170 a parallel policy of terrorism and politics, the
armalite and the ballot box, was out-dated and it dropped the
gun. The 171 move from terrorism into
government is a long-established 172 As I said at the
end of my

first lecture, I hope that the 173 freedom which
174 flow from the Arab Spring will undermine the
attraction of the al-Qaeda narrative. If you are able to engage
in your own political process, you have less 175 to
attack what across the Arab world is often called the "Great
Satan."
176, not only with the dictators of the world but
with the terrorists, is necessary. As Churchill said in the
White House in 1954, "To jaw-jaw is always better than to
war-war". Intelligence plays an important part and is of most
value if working as part of a wider dialogue involving politics,
177 and economic process. My most relevant
experience of this is the 178 and prolonged talks
in Northern Ireland. There are 179 of other
examples, talking to Hezbollah, to Hamas.
Talking doesn't mean 180 It means an
181 to reduce the threat by addressing, if
possible, its causes. It is a way of exploring
182options, of probing possibilities, of
identifying whether there is room to 183, and
what compromises, if any, may be 184, what
political grievances can be 185 or even, in rare
cases, accommodated. It is also the opportunity for
governments to express their own positions. It requires
186 by governments and a

willingness to embark on an 187...... and tricky course which may 188..... prove fruitless.

Not all terrorists are 189..... although their acts are. Nor are they all pathologically violent. A few are but many are not and have their own rationale, not ours, for what they do. In 1994 it was clear that the Provisional IRA was ready to 190..... to proper talks with the British government about the future of Northern Ireland, but, misguidedly, they wished to do so from what they saw as a position of 191..... So shortly before talks were 192..... to start, they dispatched to England a vast bomb concealed in the flat bed of a lorry. It was intercepted and defused, but had it 193....., say in the centre of London, it would have been politically impossible for the government to enter talks, and the peace process would have been 194...... delayed. The Provisional IRA and its political 195....., Sinn Fein, learned greater political sophistication through subsequent engagement.

And what about al-Qaeda? How might we talk to it? And do we even need to? It is not yet clear whether the death of Bin Laden has made the world a 196...... place and whether al-Qaeda has been permanently 197...... The Americans believe, and I obviously have no inside

198, that he remained the substantive leader of
a dispersed organisation, the spider at the middle of the web,
and that his death will 199 the amount of al-Qaeda
terrorism we see. I hope they may be right, but webs are
resilient and I 200 that his death will be a fatal
blow to the organisation he founded or to the ideology he
helped to 201
So what is there to 202, what to negotiate
about, what to agree on? Would any concessions be
203? I don't know the answers to these
questions, but I very much hope that there are those in the
West who are 204 them. We are, after all,
talking to the Taliban and may make
205
Al-Qaeda is not a 206 organization with a clear
structure. There will be those in al-Qaeda, or associated with
its franchises, who are tired and disenchanted, for whom the
207 has become sterile and sickening. Some,
thwarted by lack of success, will be looking for a 208
out. There are already 209 prepared to help the West.
Bearing in mind that we are 210 by our deeds, we
should also be capable of countering the 211 of
the al-Qaeda narrative - that Islam is 212 attack from
the West.

So we should try to 213 terrorism by talking to its
advocates and practitioners and try to promote freedom
214 talking to dictators. But we should never forget
who and what they are. We need to 215 helping
dictators to survive. The West's record on that is poor. We
have too often preferred the stability of the devil we know to the
216 of democracy. We cannot 217
people round the world to fail to notice our hypocrisy if a gap
exists 218 our professed support for freedom and
our actions. People suffering from oppressive governments are
bemused when the West talks of freedom and democracy
219 at the same time supporting regimes that
220 them. Of course there may often be much
going on behind the scenes and it's important to recognize the
real value of quiet diplomacy and private pressure
221 from the glare of public criticism.
If we 222 are to be free, and to feel secure in our
freedom, it's important to keep a 223 perspective on
terrorist risk. Bin Laden must have known that 9/11 would

view that society can become risk free. The world is full of risks

make this 234..... difficult, for at least two reasons: the

endless 235.....of the horror, recycled and replayed round

the clock by the 24 236..... media, and the unrealistic

and 237, only some of which can be reduced.
Why then, when we in this country know that, for
238, hospital-acquired infections and road traffic
accidents both kill 239 more than terrorism, do we
240 as we do? The threat of violent death is potent. It
can create community tension, 241 irrational
Islamophobia, and cause loss of confidence in government, as
in Spain after the train bombings in Madrid in 2004. It also
places on 242 the tough dilemma of providing an
authoritative response without giving the terrorists the status
they seek.
One of the 243 since 9/11 is that it or something similar
could happen again. And of course it still could, although I
would hope that the substantial investment in security and
intelligence in the last ten years has made it less
244 It nearly happened with the plot to bring down
up to a 245 transatlantic aircraft in 2006. Had that
246, the death toll would have been very high, the
economic cost 247 and the long term effect
frightening.
248 in my second lecture that, while it was
government's responsibility to do what it could to protect its
citizens from threats, governments should never 249.

	that they were	able to do so
250	. Politicians lose	their way

if they become too apprehensive about how the media will
react to terrorism when it 251 It is very difficult for
governments to 252 both economies that are
shaken by terrorism and anxious public opinion. And there are
no military or security options that are certain of
253
And not all security risks, such as that from a xenophobic,
right-wing Norwegian who 254 to have acted
alone, can be anticipated and countered.
255, political and media pressure to "do
something" in response to such 256 can lead
to unnecessary, even counter-productive initiatives and new
257, which may offer 258
assurance that they will prevent the recurrence of the event
which triggered them.
This is not a new 250
This is not a new 259 When the security
service was 260 on Irish-related terrorism, it
became used to being 261 for suggestions for new
legislation. There have been times when the service has
argued 262 for legislation, for example for that
governing its functions and its powers. But it has
263argued for substantial counter-terrorist powers,
264 the criminal law to be broadly adequate.

Certainly rushing to legislate in the 265..... of a terrorist

be 278...... and addressed, protect what it can, and be ready to 279..... with calm when it happens, reasserting our belief in our freedoms and the rule of law.

## (audience applause)

**EDWARD STOURTON:** Eliza Manningham-Buller, thank you very much indeed. If no-one else asks the question about the clever kettles that steamed open envelopes, I'm afraid I'm not going to be able to resist the temptation. But we've got an extremely high-powered audience here who I'm sure have got 283....... questions on their minds, so let's throw this open to the audience and I'll take a question just there.

MAAJID NAWAZ: My name is Maajid Nawaz. I co-founded and 284...... run the Quilliam Foundation. My question is simply what is the relationship between human 285...... and security, and do you think there is a

relationship? How important is that relationship if there is one?

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I do not see that liberty and freedom and security are 286....... opposites. I'm quite often asked to speak for one against the other. I refuse to do that. If you look at the European Convention of Human Rights, I think it's Article III, it's the right to liberty and security. They're different, but there's no liberty without security. And I think that my view on 287....... I think has been 288............. widely reported - that it's never justified even if it might save lives.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** Lots of hands going up. I'm going to take a couple in the front row first. Yes?

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I think there are
292 I think it's very difficult politically sometimes for
governments to make the first move; and using intelligence
services that are sort of 293 to make the first
contacts or to 294 out I think is an advantage that
keeps the government as it were back from it 295 they
can properly engage. I think that's quite a good precedent.
Your first question was "were there any people you might not
try to talk to?". Well there's quite obviously a number of people
who'd 296 to talk to you. And I'm not naïve about al-
Qaeda - I mean I don't see or hear it 297 up at a
conference table any time soon. I think there are components
you could talk to. And I wouldn't 298 the dissidents. It
we could talk to the dissident Republicans in Northern Ireland
who are prolonging the conflict there when most of the citizens
of Northern Ireland are very much enjoying the
299 of peace, I think we should do so.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** Can I in that context just ask you to parse a 300...... in your lecture? You said "my most recent..."

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: (over) I was an English

301	 , but I	
	,	

**EDWARD STOURTON:** Alright. Down here.

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I don't make
311 distinctions. I mean I've always tried to
avoid in my 312 the long debate about freedom
313 versus terrorist because you go round
in circles on it. You could go on forever
314 about it.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** Alright, we've got the question.

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: Let's 322
ourselves of the facts in Northern Ireland. Plenty of people
were convicted of criminal 323 and terrorist
offences and served long sentences. Now as part of the peace
process, many of them were 324 from
prison. Now you might think that I, who had been part of (in
very many cases) putting them 325 bars in the
first place for murder, might feel pretty disgusted by the fact
that they were released, but it was part of the
326, wider process. And if I can just say
something more on Northern Ireland. I think there's lots of
people who should be very much 327 for what
they work - the politicians, but also the political parties within
Northern Ireland. If Ian Paisley, for example, had felt like you
had and had not sat down with Martin McGuinness, we would
still have terrorism in Northern Ireland. So 328
were made, but the 329 was the greater peace that
now prevails in the province, with the 330 of
some of the activity of the dissident groups.
<b>EDWARD STOURTON:</b> Can I just 331 that up? It's not
directly relevant to the question, but it's
332,easier for you to feel comfortable about
the fact that people you helped lock up are now at liberty than
it is for the families of their victims, isn't it?

<b>ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER:</b> I'm sure that is 333,
and I wouldn't wish at all to minimise the awful suffering the
334 go through. But I can remember the man
whose name I can't remember, but I can remember his
335 at Enniskillen, when his daughter was killed by a
bomb and his 336Christian forgiveness and
charity. And I think that it obviously requires people to stomach
quite a lot in some cases, but if you think about what the
alternatives are - prolonged, continuing campaign of terror,
which could not be 337 by military means.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** Can I, yes, take a question from here?

ANTHONY BEEVOR: Anthony Beevor, historian. You talked I think in a 338....... lecture about the danger of describing the "War on Terror". Would you also agree that the way that governments can tend to overreact by using 339...... historical comparisons - particularly we saw, and in fact my blood almost 340...... when I heard the comparison between Pearl Harbour and 9/11, Blair comparing Saddam Hussein to Hitler just as Eden had compared Nasser to Hitler - do you think that this 341.......... is now over of politicians and statesmen making these

False 342 with the past because really, with the
Arab Spring and everything 343, that things have
changed so much?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: Of course the danger's not
over - politicians have to be re-elected and they have to make
speeches. But the "War on Terror" language, which I am
uncomfortable with, I was 344 to see that Donald
Rumsfeld shares my view and says that he tried to 345
George Bush not to use that language. So we have one thing
in common. (audience laughter)
<b>EDWARD STOURTON:</b> I'm going to go to the other side of
the room and take a couple of questions a bit
346 back. Yes?
KARLA ADAM: Hello, my name is Karla Adam. I'm with the
Washington Post. Can I ask your 347 on
American policy in Yemen, which is effectively to contain al-
Qaeda through 348 strikes?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I was 349
dreading somebody asking me the drone question.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** It means it's a good question. Well done.

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: Because 350
before I retired, there was I think one There were very few
drone strikes and they've obviously increased very
351 in the last few years, so my view of them is very
much as it 352 as a reader of the media. I do think
there are difficulties. I think one of them is obviously the
collateral damage of a lot of 353 people being killed
by them. However, there's clearly also the fact that a number
of 354 terrorists have been taken out that way. So if
I'm 355really honest, I sway in what I think my view is
on that 356 technique, which the present President of
the United States has 357 very extensively.

EDWARD STOURTON: Down here, yeah?

ANAS ALTIKRITI: Yes, thank you very much. My name's Anas Altikriti. I'm the 358...... executive of the Cordoba Foundation. I noted that 359...... talking about the 360...... and the threats on a security level, you didn't associate those threats or those challenges with Islam or Muslims particularly, but those are 361......

and they are possibly cross-border. But my question is about the Arab

Spring. Is there a 362 for us to learn from what's happening? Are we in a 363 to rethink how we assess in previous 364 that particular region and those particular people?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: Yes, well I think it's
365 likely that my former friends in the Foreign
Office and 366 government and outside
government are doing exactly that because obviously it is
teaching us a 367 amount about what these
people in these different countries want, which I think we
should have 368 but which there was this
presumption in many cases that they 369 want
democracy.
EDWARD STOURTON: Yup?
NABILA RAMDANI: Nabila Ramdani, freelance journalist. Do
you 370 that the British military assisting popular
revolutions in countries like Libya, do you think it ultimately
371 the risk of terrorism
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: (over) No.
NABILA RAMDANI: 372 British interests.

## ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I don't.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** That's clear. *(audience laughter)* Do you want to tell us 373.....?

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: Well I mean I think there's two things here and 374...... I should be a bit more ample in my answer. Our 375..... in Iraq increased the risk of terror here. It's assumed that that was 376..... automatically the wrong thing to do. You might wish as a government to take action in doing something 377..... you thought it was the right thing to do, which would in the short-term increase the terrorist risk. That would not be your only 378..... for making a decision. And I think all I'd say to 379..... to that is I think decisions made by government are pretty tough 380..... There are ministers in the audience and 381..... ministers. You make decisions. I've watched ministers making decisions. They have to make a lot of them. As they make them, they 382..... that most of them are the right decisions. They will know that some of them 383..... be and they make them with the 384..... means they can. Now Iraq I think was a mistake for a number of 385...... I don't think Libya necessarily was. I don't think it automatically leads to more terrorism. It did in Iraq.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** Since you 386..... ministers, I'm going to come to someone who knows what it's like to be one.

LORD WEST: Lord West, simple sailor. (audience laughter) In the Second World War, we had very, very draconian laws passed of course constraining the 387..... to the individual, but we were in a situation where there was an 388..... threat to the United Kingdom. The terrorist threat is very 389.....and not very nice, but it's far from that at the moment. But if we had information that showed that these terrorists did have easy 390..... to or had actually possession of an improvised nuclear 391..... or let's say, for example, some particularly virulent, very nasty thing that we knew would have a terrible 392...... do you believe that the standards of things like interrogation and the standards of 393...... that passed should change, bearing in mind it's an existential threat to our 394..... nation, it's a very different thing?

**EDWARD STOURTON:** 395...... having poked fun at yourself by calling yourself a simple sailor, you were of course the security minister in the last government, so you know whereof you speak. Yes, go ahead.

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I hope that it wouldn't
396 the laws. I hope that we wouldn't resort to
torture. It's obviously a hypothetical question. We didn't in the
Second World War; I hope we wouldn't in those
397
RIAZAT BUTT: My name's Riazat Butt and I work for the
Guardian. When you're talking about having
398 with people, and talking doesn't
necessarily mean approval, where does Saudi Arabia figure in
this because it has a very interesting 399 to
freedom and human rights?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: With dealing with people
who don't have democracy, who don't have human rights, you
want in small ways when you can to 400 them,
encourage them. They may pay no attention to you. Why
should they? But you have an 401 to describe
these things and push them.
EDWARD STOURTON: (to audience) I'm going to take a
question over there, but before we take it, I just want to ask
you something. We've heard a lot from Eliza Manningham-
Buller about the 402 of talking to terrorists. How many
people in this room think that in 403 that's a
bad idea? Well I'd say you've probably been
404

because not a 405 hand has gone up. Oh one's
gone up over there. Well I'm going to take a question just in the
406 in front of you and then I'll come to you
and find out why you think that.
OSAMA HUSSEIN: My name's Osama Hussein. I'm an
407 and an academic. I'd like to also thank you very
much for your lecture. Regarding the difficulties in talking to al-
Qaeda, over the 408 ten years it's become clear
that 409 of Westerners have been recruited
into al-Qaeda and many have 410left that path
and are working against it. And, for example, after 9/11 al-
Qaeda tried to recruit me (I 411 to Saudi Arabia and
talked to a couple of their people) and you'll be glad to know I
said "no, I don't want to be a part of your work". But the point is
al-Qaeda was at the time 412 in Afghanistan, but
413 and ideologically they were based
clearly in Saudi Arabia and also in Pakistan and other places.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** So just to be clear, your argument is talk to the people who proselytize the ideology 415......

leaders who promote the al-Qaeda ideology because we have

Surely we have those 414..... now and we should be

making use of those channels to talk to the clerics and the

links with them here in the West?

than talking to the 416? Is that
what you're suggesting?
OSAMA HUSSEIN: Well it seems to me we have not done
417 of that - of talking to the theological leaders,
including the ones here in the West.
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I think that's a fair point, it's
a fair point. To go back to Saudi Arabia, I mean they have a
very interesting programme of 418 to rehabilitate
former Jihadis, not by 419 them of their
human rights but by the opposite actually - by religious
education and so on - which is 420
interesting.
EDWARD STOURTON: Can I, 421 we're talking
about the question of talking to al-Qaeda, just 422
one issue with you. A lot of people would say that there's a
distinction between a group like al-Qaeda, which doesn't have
a 423 agenda, and a group like the IRA which
does, or even actually the Taliban, which after all was
424 a government. It's very difficult to see how you
would begin the conversation with al-Qaeda, isn't it?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: You're 425
right. With Northern Ireland, we 426 what
the agenda was and
tile ageriua was ariu

it was a question of whether you could move any way
427 it. 428 of course the
declared aims of al-Qaeda, some of them simply are never
going to be 429 and we would have strong
objections to that sort of oppressive regime that they support.
But I'm really I'm not suggesting we 430 off and
do this tomorrow. I am suggesting, and I hope and I wouldn't
be surprised if it's happening, that people are thinking about
which components, which franchises, which bits you could
seek to 431 off from the main body of the 432
by talking to them.
EDWARD STOURTON. So you're not cotually talking
EDWARD STOURTON: So you're not actually talking
about a negotiation with a political 433 in
view?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: (over) Oh nothing
( )
EDWARD STOURTON: (over) You're talking about trying to
434 the organisation if you like?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: (over) Talking, reaching the
solution in Northern Ireland took 435 years. This
isn't going to happen 436 or soon.

EDWARD STOURTON: Well let's hear from the

gentleman who's the brave 437l who was the one
who put up his hand and said he thought that talking to
terrorists was, in 438, a bad idea.
JIM MOORE: Jim Moore. Actually your question was very
much my 439 is that I would find it very hard to
actually think that you were talking to al-Qaeda for any reason
other than to splinter off people, to 440 with
al-Qaeda.
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: What about their first aim -
the 441 of American bases from Saudi
Arabia?
EDWARD STOURTON: That should be on the table, in
your 442?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I don't know. You could
have it on the table.
EDWARD STOURTON: (over/laughs) But you raise it as if it
should be.
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: Yes. I mean that is
something that you 443 could move on.

JIM MOORE: I just cann	not fathom a 444	With
the core folks in al-Qaed	da on the basis of 445	their
first		
446	. their first thing is you kno	w what will
we serve at the table wh	nen we talk?	

**ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER:** I'm not 447..... that anybody's going to talk to al-Zawahiri.

EDWARD STOURTON: But just for the record, we should understand from what you said that American 448...... on Arab soil, on Islamic soil, is something that you can 449...... being a subject of genuine negotiation?

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I would have thought so.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** Going to hear a question in the second row there. But before I do, do you mind if I just ask you the kettle question?

**ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER:** Yes.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** I mean it's just such an intriguing thought. What's special about these kettles?

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: There's nothing
450 They were very big. (audience
laughter)
<b>EDWARD STOURTON:</b> And they sort of sat on the stove and?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: They just 451
a lot of steam. (audience laughter)
<b>EDWARD STOURTON:</b> So a lot of letters had to be opened?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: In those days, yes.
EDWARD STOURTON: It's very childish of me. I
452 Let's take a more serious question from
down here.
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: (over) But I didn't do it because it would have 453 my hair go frizzy. (audience laughter)
XENIA DORMANDY: Thank you. Xenia Dormandy from
Chatham House. Back in 2004, Condi Rice gave a
454 in Egypt where she said - and I'm
paraphrasing - that "no

455 will America put security and stability
456 of freedoms and democracy". It's not the first
time an American has said that, and I think she would agree
with many of your statements, which are "you have to have a
balance". Back to the Saudi Arabia question, particularly from
the American perspective - Saudi Arabia's long been a good
friend of the United States - where do you find that balance
whereby, as you say, promoting, talking to (if it's the Saudis or
any other government) about freedom is
457while actually still supporting their stability
for your own country? How do you 458 that balance
and what kind of criteria would you use?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I don't 459
that balance is easy to find. Obviously Saudi Arabia has been
a good friend to the West. We rely on its oil. We shouldn't
460 that to make us blind to the nature of the
government. My hope would be that when there's a new
generation of Saudi rulers, that persuasion, pressure from the
West, there may be some greater freedom given to their
461 for their own self-interest. I mean let's not forget
where the 9/11 bombers came from. These were men who
were 462 from Saudi Arabia where they had

no freedom. I would argue that the long-term security of Saudi

Arabia 463..... giving greater freedom to the

citizenry, greater share in the material 464, and
leading to a much more stable system than is
465the case.
KIM HOWELLS: Kim Howells, a retired Foreign Office
Minister. I may be wrong, but I think the last 466 o
terrorism in Britain was Mr Litvinenko. What do we do about
the new forms of terrorism, which we know about 467
some of them cyber terrorism aimed to 468 or
do damage to parts of our economy - that are centred in
countries like Russia and China? Doesn't this come right to the
469 of your original premise about how
foreign policy has to be abreast of these 470
in the world and has to 471 try to accommodate
them?
<b>ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER:</b> I don't think there's a
simple answer. I mean there's a lot of work going on
472, and it's a difficult problem because we
all want to have the 473 access that information
technology gives us. You know all politicians want their
Blackberries.
Greater 474 and economy arrives from IT -
sometimes - and that gives our enemies 475
opportunities to do a number of things. They can suck secrets
out of British companies, of government departments - I mean

there are thousands and thousands of attacks on the Pentagon 476...... day - suck things out,

distort material that's already there or 477..... service. I know that a lot of work in government is going on this. **EDWARD STOURTON:** Can I, yes, take a guestion from here? **ALEXANDR KHOMENKO:** Yes, thank you very much. Alexandr Khomenko of the 478..... Embassy. (audience laughter) When you tried to 479..... the threat of international terrorism, let's say ten years ago, did you try to 480.....lessons from European terrorism 481..... to the outbreak of the First World War? **ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER:** No. **ALEXANDR KHOMENKO:** You didn't? Fine. **ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER:** The First World War, you said? ALEXANDR KHOMENKO: (over) Yes 482..... the First World War, yes.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** *(over)* You're talking about anarchist bombers in St. Petersburg and so forth?

ALEXANDR KHOMENKO: Yes. And the second one is do you
think that the threat of international terrorism coming from the
Arab East is 483 because of the Arab Spring –
484 through the participation in civil
485 or participation in open democratic
process?
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I would hope that the
answer to that second question is yes. Can I
486that when you next have guests to the
Lubyanka, you install a ladies cloakroom? (audience
laughter)
EDWARD STOURTON: A priceless moment of
international 487 Let me just
ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: I much enjoyed my visit
there, but there was no Ladies.
EDWARD STOURTON: Can I just ask you a final question? I
teased you a bit 488 about the fact that you've
become rather a star 489 these lectures.
There was a half serious thought behind that. I think one of
the things that people have been 490 by
during these lectures is that while these 491

were going on inside government about Iraq, about the use of 492..... and so

forth, we on the 493....... had no sense of that debate and we've heard you express very strong views on these questions. If we had a system a bit more like the Americans, say, where the head of a service like yours, say like the 494...... - a very public figure, somebody who can participate in the public debate - do you think you might have been 495...... to make some of these points in public when you were serving and might it have been a good thing if you 496......?

ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER: Well I think I'm still too much in my bones a 497....... servant - to believe it's the duty of public servants to give 498...... to ministers in private and to be 499......about what that advice has been. How else can ministers make these very difficult 500...... that they have to make on decisions? I did actually say in 2006 that I thought that our going ... I said in a public speech, with the permission of the Home Secretary, that I 501...... that the war in Iraq had increased the 502...... of some young British Muslims. So I did say it when I was still in office. I mean whatever form of accountability happens with the agencies - if Parliament 503...... that you know my successor gives 504...... in public, that's for Parliament to decide. But I suppose I would feel uncomfortable while I was in office displaying publicly if I had 505....... with

ministers at the time.

**EDWARD STOURTON:** You remain a good old-fashioned MI5 506..... servant, spy?

**ELIZA MANNINGHAM-BULLER:** A good old-fashioned public servant, I think.

EDWARD STOURTON: Well there we must sadly end it. My 507....... to those of you who wanted to ask questions and couldn't. Our thanks to all our 508...... and indeed to our host, the British Library, but above all our thanks to Eliza Manningham-Buller for a truly engrossing series of lectures that I 509...... are going to be discussed long after we leave this hall. I'm Edward Stourton and the producers were Jim Frank and Mark Savage.

(audience applause)