

# Table of Contents

<i>Abbreviations</i>	XV
<i>Introduction</i>	
I. New Momentum for the Principles of International Environmental Law: The Ambition of Adopting a Global Pact for the Environment	1
II. Object and Purpose of the Book	5
III. Some Terminological Issues	8
IV. Research Plan	12

## PART I

### Weaknesses in the Construction of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Customary Rule

#### CHAPTER 1

##### *Marginalisation of State Practice*

1.1. The Common View That There Is a Customary Rule Imposing an Obligation to Prevent Transboundary Environmental Harm	19
1.1.1. Marginalisation of State Practice within the Arguments in Favour of the Existence of the Rule	19
1.1.2. The Background: A Paradoxical Disregard for the Status of Prevention of Environmental Harm...	23
1.1.3. ...and the Initial Focus on State Liability	26
1.2. Inconsistencies within State Practice	33
1.2.1. Continuing Degradation of the Environment	33
1.2.2. Non-Application of the Alleged Customary Rule on Prevention in Cases of Environmental Harm	35
1.2.3. The Torrey Canyon Case...	36
1.2.4. ...and Other Incidents Involving Oil Tankers	41
1.2.5. The Ixtoc I Case	44
1.2.6. The Chernobyl Case	46
1.2.7. The Sandoz Case	49
1.2.8. The Deepwater Horizon Case	51
1.2.9. The Fukushima Daiichi Case	54
1.3. Doubts concerning the Customary Nature of Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm	56
1.3.1. The Weight of State Practice in the Arguments against the Existence of a Customary Rule on Prevention	56

1.3.2. The Issue of Inconsistencies in State Practice in the Light of the Methodological Problem of How to Determine Customary International Law...	60
1.3.3. ...and Their Relevance Despite the Ongoing Difficulties in Definitively Solving the Problem	64

## CHAPTER 2

*Connection with Non-Environmental Obligations*

2.1. The Issue of Non-Environmental Obligations Requiring the Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm	73
2.2. Territorial Sovereignty and Prevention of Transboundary Harm to the Environment	75
2.2.1. A Common Misconstruction: The No-Harm Rule as the Origin of Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm	75
2.2.1.a. The <i>Trail Smelter</i> Case	81
2.2.1.b. The Ensuing Evidential Value of Non-Environmental Practice: <i>Island of Palmas</i> , <i>Corfu Channel</i> and <i>Lac Lanoux</i>	87
2.2.2. Objective Theory of Sovereignty and Principles of Law: <i>Sic Utère Tuo Ut Alienum Non Laedas</i> , Good Neighbourliness, Abuse of Rights	94
2.3. Protection of the Environment Per Se as a Collective Interest	99
2.3.1. Critique of the Connection between the No-Harm Rule and Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm	99
2.3.2. Protection of the Environment Per Se as the Interest Underpinning Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm in Principle 21	104
2.3.3. Further Evidence of the Collective Nature of the Interest Underpinning Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm in Principle 21	110
2.3.4. Reconceptualising Prevention of Transboundary Environmental Harm	119
2.4. The Interaction between Prevention of Environmental Harm and Non-Environmental Obligations	121
2.4.1. Territorial Sovereignty in Environmental Cases concerning Transboundary Harm: The Need to Keep Protection of the Environment Distinct	121
2.4.1.a. Practice Following the Stockholm Declaration: The <i>Nuclear Tests</i> Case and the <i>Cosmos 954</i> Incident	124
2.4.1.b. Recent Practice: The <i>Aerial Herbicide Spraying</i> and <i>Construction of a Road in Costa Rica</i> Cases	130
2.4.1.c. Pollution of International Watercourses	135
2.4.2. Pre-existing Non-Environmental Obligations Other than the No-Harm Rule, with Particular Regard to Freedom of the High Seas	143

## PART II

## Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle of International Law

## CHAPTER 3

*General Principles of International Law and Protection of the Environment*

3.1. Preliminary Remarks on General Principles in the International Legal System	151
3.1.1. Looking at General Principles for an Alternative Classification of Prevention of Environmental Harm	151
3.1.2. General Principles Recognised <i>in Foro Domestico</i> under Article 38 of the ICJ Statute: Not an Obstacle to General Principles of International Law	154
3.1.3. Inconclusiveness of the Supposed Paucity of Practice to Exclude General Principles of International Law	159
3.1.4. Inherence of General Principles in Addition to Rules in Any Legal System	162
3.2. Overview of the Features of General Principles of International Law	165
3.2.1. General Principles of International Law in the Light of the Functional Distinction between Principles and Rules	165
3.2.2. Features of the Inspiring Function of General Principles of International Law	169
3.3. Theoretical Implications of the Reconstruction of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle of International Law	175
3.3.1. Prima Facie Suitability of Prevention of Environmental Harm to Qualify as a General Principle: The Programmatic Intent of the 1972 Stockholm Conference	175
3.3.2. Identification by Induction from Existing Rules: Distinguishing between General Principles and Mere Policies or Soft Law Recommendations	182
3.3.3. Verification of the Actual Operation of Prevention as a Principle: Distinguishing between General Principles and Customary Rules	187

## CHAPTER 4

*Inference of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle from the Rules Embodying It*

4.1. Introductory Observations on How to Find Evidence of the Guiding Function of Prevention of Environmental Harm	195
4.2. Prevention of Environmental Harm in Treaties	198
4.2.1. Consideration of Prevention of Environmental Harm in Preambles to Treaties	198
4.2.1.a. Preambles to Treaties and Inspiring Function	198
4.2.1.b. Restatement of the Content of Principle 21	204

4.2.1.c. Reference to Principle 21 and Restatement of Its Content	208
4.2.2. Prevention of Environmental Harm in Treaty Provisions Setting Out ‘Principles’	212
4.2.2.a. Statement of ‘Principles’ in Treaties and Inspiring Function	212
4.2.2.b. Restatement of Principle 21 as a ‘Principle’	215
4.2.2.c. Reference to the ‘Principle of Prevention’	217
4.3. Prevention of Environmental Harm in EU Law	221
4.3.1. The Environmental Policy of the European Union	221
4.3.2. Before the Single European Act: Prevention of Environmental Harm in Programmes of Action on the Environment	224
4.3.3. Following the Single European Act: Preventive Action as a Foundational Principle of the EU Environmental Policy	227
4.4. Prevention of Environmental Harm within the Binding Acts of International Organisations	234
4.4.1. Structural Hindrances to the Adoption of Legally Binding Acts by International Organisations in the Field of Protection of the Environment	234
4.4.2. The Case of the UN Security Council: An Unavoidable Limited Role for Prevention of Environmental Harm	236
4.4.2.a. Iraq’s Liability for Environmental Damage during the First Gulf War	237
4.4.2.b. Wildlife Poaching and Trafficking as a ‘Fuelling Factor’	239
4.4.2.c. Climate Change as a ‘Threat Multiplier’	241

## CHAPTER 5

### *Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Principle in International Case Law*

5.1. Overview and Identification of the Relevant Cases	245
5.1.1. Mainly Incidental Relevance of General International Law for Environmental Litigation...	245
5.1.2. ... and Its Consequences: Terminological and Interpretative Issues	248
5.2. The Case Law of the International Court of Justice Revisited	252
5.2.1. At the Outset: The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle Based on a Community Interest	255
5.2.1.a. The 1996 Advisory Opinion on the <i>Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons</i>	255
5.2.1.b. The 1997 Judgment in the <i>Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros</i> Case	263
5.2.2. The Subsequent Change of Approach and Its Contingency: The Assertion of the Customary Status of Prevention of Environmental Harm in Context	270
5.2.2.a. The 2010 Judgment in the <i>Pulp Mills</i> Case	270
5.2.2.b. The 2015 Judgment in the <i>Certain Activities and Construction of a Road</i> Joined Cases	277
5.3. The Operation of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle in Arbitral Proceedings	284
5.3.1. The ‘Considerations of Environmental Protection’ in the <i>Arbitration regarding the Iron Rhine Railway</i>	284

5.3.2. Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle in the <i>South China Sea Arbitration</i>	290
---	-----

## PART III

## The Reconstruction of Prevention as a Principle Put to the Test

## CHAPTER 6

*The 'Content' of Prevention of Environmental Harm: Trends in Customary Law*

6.1. Strengths of the Reconstruction of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a General Principle of International Law	299
6.1.1. Resistance to the Weaknesses Undermining the Construction of Prevention of Environmental Harm as a Customary Rule	301
6.1.2. New Perspectives on Debated Problems	302
6.2. Prevention through Procedural Obligations: Activities Likely to Cause Significant Environmental Harm	305
6.2.1. Procedural Obligations between Prevention and Cooperation: Notification, Information and Consultation	305
6.2.2. The Obligation to Conduct an Environmental Impact Assessment...	307
6.2.3. ...and Its Relationship with the Principle of Prevention of Environmental Harm	314
6.3. Prevention as Regulation of Hazardous Activities and Limitation of Environmental Harm	321
6.3.1. Creation of Treaty Regimes Providing for Specific Rules and Standards	322
6.3.2. Outside Detailed Treaty Regimes: Trends Relating to the Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter at Sea...	330
6.3.3. ...and, in Particular, the Dumping of Radioactive Wastes	336
6.3.4. Creation of Civil Liability Regimes...	340
6.3.5. ...and Its Consequences on Prevention of Environmental Harm: Trends Relating to Prompt and Adequate Compensation	344
6.4. Prevention as Prohibition: Environmental Harm Detached from the Economic Exploitation of Natural Resources	351
6.4.1. Prohibition on Destruction of the Environment as a Weapon...	351
6.4.2. ...and Other Trends concerning Prevention of Environmental Harm during Armed Conflict	356
6.4.3. Trends towards the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapon Tests with a Significant Environmental Impact	364

## CHAPTER 7

*Protection of the Environment and Continued Economic Growth in the Age of Technology*

7.1. The Decline of Prevention of Environmental Harm and the Rise of Sustainable Development	375
--	-----

7.1.1. The Integration of Protection of the Environment and Economic Development as a Key Component of Sustainable Development	375
7.1.2. The Paradigm Shift from Prevention of Environmental Harm to Sustainable Development	380
7.2. Continued Economic Growth and the Paradox of Protection of the Environment: The Promise of Technology	387
7.3. Beyond the Technological Illusion: 'Un-sustainable' Development and the De-growth Solution	393
7.4. The Potential of the Principle of Prevention of Environmental Harm in a De-growth Scenario	397
<i>Bibliography</i>	401