

COURSE OF STUDY *Laurea magistrale in Scienze storiche e sociali (LM 84)*

ACADEMIC YEAR First

ACADEMIC SUBJECT Gender literary

General information	
Year of the course	First
Academic calendar	February- may 2024
(starting and ending date)	
Credits (CFU/ETCS):	9
SSD	L-FIL-LET/10
Language	Italian
Mode of attendance	Not compulsory but recommended

Professor/ Lecturer	
Name and Surname	Lea Durante
E-mail	lea.durante@uniba.it
Telephone	
Department and address	Dirium, second floor
Virtual room	
Office Hours (and	By appointment
modalities: e.g., by	
appointment, on line, etc.)	

Work sched	ule		
Hours			
Total	Lectures	Hands-on (laboratory, workshops, working groups, seminars, field trips)	Out-of-class study hours/ Self-study hours
225	49	14	162
CFU/ETCS			
9	7	2	

Learning Objectives	
Course prerequisites	Knowledge of the general lines of Italian literature and some
	notions of literary criticism and theory of literature.
	Knowledge of the general aspects of feminist debate.

Teaching strategie	
Expected learning	
outcomes in terms of	
Knowledge and understanding on:	Knowledge and understanding Understanding of the issues related to the literary canon as a place of inclusion/exclusion of gender cultural experiences.



Applying knowledge and understanding on:	Understanding the literary question of gender within a broader perspective of reading the national and global literary tradition. Use of debate practice to discuss the female condition. Making informed judgements and choices Ability to identify gender concealment patterns inside both cultural and public debates, acquisition of theoretical-critical skills on the subject, in relation to the contemporary.
Soft skills	Communicating knowledge and understanding Acquisition of skills of analysis and discussion about gender discrimination and exploitation of knowledge in the interpretation of cultural facts. Capacities to continue learning The course aims to expand the students' ability of learning and doing a thorough research on literature compared to reality as a whole, through direct reading of literary texts and critical essays written by female writers
Syllabus	
Content knowledge	Sex re-written by female writers
Texts and readings	Anais Nin, <i>II delta di Venere</i> (Bompiani) Carla Lonzi, <i>La donna vaginale e la donna clitoridea</i> (<i>Sputiamo su Hegel</i> , La tartaruga) Marco Lombardo Radice, Lidia Ravera, <i>Porci con le ali</i> (Bompiani) Goliarda Sapienza, <i>L'arte della gioia</i> (Einaudi) Almudena Grandes, <i>Le età di Lulù</i> (Guanda)
Notes, additional materials	Additional materials will be distributed during the lessons
Repository	

Assessment	
Assessment methods	
Assessment criteria	Oral examination. Active participation in the course will be evaluated and contribute to the final result.
	• General and applying knowledge and understanding The achievement of a mature and critical vision of the proposed
	items and a correct linguistic comprehension of literary texts will
	be valuated as excellent. The mnemonic knowledge will have a
	discreet evaluation, the real basic capability of speaking about
	texts and literature will get a sufficient evaluation, the gap of knowledge will have negative evaluation
	• Making informed judgements and choices Critical maturity will be valuated as excellent achievement; a low
	capability of analysis will be valuated as discreet or sufficient, a
	poor one will be valuated in negative way



 A good capability of using specific language will be valuated as excellent; a lower ability in synthesizing and a correct but non specific language will be valuated as sufficient, more or less. A bad use of language will get a negative evaluation <i>Ability to learn</i> The proper knowledge and the capability of understanding the historical-esthetic problems of literary production will be valuated as excellent; their superficial understanding will get a sufficient o discreet evaluation; the deficiency of these items will have a negative evaluation. 	
Oral examination	
	 excellent; a lower ability in synthesizing and a correct but non specific language will be valuated as sufficient, more or less. A bad use of language will get a negative evaluation <i>Ability to learn</i> The proper knowledge and the capability of understanding the historical-esthetic problems of literary production will be valuated as excellent; their superficial understanding will get a sufficient o discreet evaluation; the deficiency of these items will have a negative evaluation.