



## COURSE OF STUDY: SOCIAL WORK SCIENCES AND SOCIOLOGY L-39/L-40- course in Sociology L-40 ACADEMIC YEAR: 2024-25

## ACADEMIC SUBJECT: HISTORY OF GLOBALIZATION

General information	
Year of the course	Third Year
Academic calendar (starting and ending date)	16 September 2024-13 December 2024
Credits (CFU/ETCS):	8
SSD	SPS/06-History of Globalization
Language	Italian
Mode of attendance	Advised but not compulsory

Professor/ Lecturer	
Name and Surname	Federico Imperato
E-mail	federico.imperato@uniba.it
Telephone	080/5717574
Department and address	Department of Political Sciences, Franco Cassano Building, Corso Italia, 23 4th
	Floor, Room n. 2
Virtual room	Microsoft Teams – Corso di Storia della Globalizzazione – Team Code dwa9qf1
Office Hours (and modalities:	Tuesday, h. 9-10
e.g., by appointment, on line,	For any other communications, interested students can contact the teacher via
etc.)	email
	We invite you to consult the teacher page for further updates:
	https://www.uniba.it/it/docenti/imperato-federico

Work schedule			
Hours			
Total	Lectures	Hands-on (laboratory, workshops, working groups, seminars, field trips)	Out-of-class study hours/ Self-study hours
200	64		136
CFU/ETCS			
8	8		

Learning Objectives	The students will understand the main moments and problems of the Global history. Moreover, the students will be able to develop some other skills, such as the acquisition of the main historical methodology in relation to the Modern World history; the understanding of the plurality of subjects and actors in the Modern history and politics; the knowledge of the main political problems of the World history. At last, the students will be able to argue on acquired content; to place the concepts and schools of thought studied in space-time contexts; to interpret, ability to behave in relation to others. Purpose of this course consists even in developing some critical abilities, such as applying knowledge to the analysis of case studies; documenting and motivating students' knowledge by collaborating and interacting with others; understanding written and visual texts, to interpret situations, to compare, to argue, to dialogue.
Course prerequisites	Basic knowledge of the main historical events characterizing the modern age.





Teaching strategies	Lectures; Mentoring; Public discussions.
Expected learning outcomes in	Seminars and lectures with invited speakers.
terms of	
Knowledge and understanding	$\circ$ Acquisition of the main historical knowledge in relation to the
on:	Modern World history.
	<ul> <li>Understanding of the plurality of subjects and actors in the Global</li> </ul>
	history and politics.
	• Knowledge of the main political problems of the Global history.
Applying knowledge and	• Ability to argue on acquired content.
understanding on:	<ul> <li>Ability to place the concepts and schools of thought studied in space time contouts</li> </ul>
	space-time contexts.
	<ul> <li>Ability to interpret.</li> <li>Ability to behave in relation to others.</li> </ul>
Soft skills	Ability to behave in relation to others.
SOIT SKIIIS	<ul> <li>Making informed judgments and choices         <ul> <li>Ability to apply knowledge to the analysis of case studies.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Communicating knowledge and understanding</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Ability to document and motivate one's knowledge by collaborating and interacting with others.</li> </ul>
	Capacities to continue learning
	<ul> <li>Ability to understand written and visual texts, to interpret</li> </ul>
	situations, to compare, to argue, to dialogue.
Syllabus	
Content knowledge	- Definition of Globalization
	- Globalization: Dimensions and Phases
	- The Development and Establishment of Worldwide Connections until 1750
	- Imperialism, Industrialization and Free Trade (1750-1880)
	- Global Capitalism and World Crisis (1880-1945)
	- The Cold War and the Division of the World in Blocs (1945-1975)
	<ul> <li>Decline and Fall of the Communist Bloc</li> </ul>
	- Globalization in the New Millennium
Texts and readings	The following book or sections of books:
Texts and reduings	
	LUCIANO MONZALI, FEDERICO IMPERATO, ROSARIO MILANO, GIUSEPPE
	SPAGNULO, Storia delle relazioni internazionali (1492-1918). Dall'ascesa
	<i>dell'Europa alla Prima guerra mondiale</i> , Milano, Mondadori, 2022 (pp 57-477).
	LUCIANO MONZALI, FEDERICO IMPERATO, ROSARIO MILANO, GIUSEPPE
	SPAGNULO, Storia delle relazioni internazionali (1919-2021). Tra Stati nazionali,
	potenze continentali e organizzazioni internazionali, Milano, Mondadori, 2022
	(pp. 3-370; or pp. 371-792).
Notes, additional materials	Reference books are available in the department library.
	For information, please consult the following link:
	https://www.uniba.it/it/ricerca/dipartimenti/scienze-
	politiche/biblioteca/biblioteche-1/servizi-offerti The supplementary teaching material can be consulted and/or downloaded in
	the course's Teams channel, for which the access code is provided: dwa9qf1
Repository	the course's reality channel, for which the access code is provided. dwa9q11
περοδιίοι γ	

Assessment	
Assessment methods	Oral Exams and Grades on a scale of 30.
Assessment criteria	a) Knowledge and understanding 1. Clearness of exposition.
	b) Applying knowledge and understanding





1. Use of the acquired vocabulary of the matter.c) Autonomy of judgment1. Ability to analyze questions and provide with adequate answers.d) Communicating knowledge and understanding1. Knowledge of the basic historical notions and of the correct terminolee) Communication skills1. Exhaustiveness of the acquired knowledge.f) Capacities to continue learning1. Deepening of the knowledge of concepts and of previous courses.Final exam and grading criteriaFinal exam and grading criteriaThe final grade is awarded out of thirty. The exam is passed when the grad greater than or equal to 18.The criteria followed for the evaluation of learning outcomes, expressed thirtieths, are:- Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in program and poor conceptualization ability Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and suffici conceptualization ability Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophil	gy.
d)Communicating knowledge and understanding 1. Knowledge of the basic historical notions and of the correct terminolo e)communication skills 1. Exhaustiveness of the acquired knowledge. f)Capacities to continue learning 1. Deepening of the knowledge of concepts and of previous courses.Final exam and grading criteriaThe final grade is awarded out of thirty. The exam is passed when the grad greater than or equal to 18.The criteria followed for the evaluation of learning outcomes, expressed thirtieths, are: - Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in program and poor conceptualization ability. - Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and suffici conceptualization ability. - Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophil	gy.
1. Knowledge of the basic historical notions and of the correct terminologicale)Communication skills1. Exhaustiveness of the acquired knowledge.f)Capacities to continue learning1. Deepening of the knowledge of concepts and of previous courses.Final exam and grading criteriaThe final grade is awarded out of thirty. The exam is passed when the gradgreater than or equal to 18.The criteria followed for the evaluation of learning outcomes, expressedthirtieths, are:- Insufficient: 0-17Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained inprogram and poor conceptualization ability Sufficient: 18-20Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and sufficiconceptualization ability Fair: 21-23Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability.argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophil	gy.
e)Communication skills 1. Exhaustiveness of the acquired knowledge.f)Capacities to continue learning 1. Deepening of the knowledge of concepts and of previous courses.Final exam and grading criteriaThe final grade is awarded out of thirty. The exam is passed when the grad greater than or equal to 18.The criteria followed for the evaluation of learning outcomes, expressed thirtieths, are: - Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in program and poor conceptualization ability. - Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and suffici conceptualization ability. - Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophil	gy.
1. Exhaustiveness of the acquired knowledge.f)Capacities to continue learning 1. Deepening of the knowledge of concepts and of previous courses.Final exam and grading criteriaThe final grade is awarded out of thirty. The exam is passed when the grad greater than or equal to 18.The criteria followed for the evaluation of learning outcomes, expressed thirtieths, are: - Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in program and poor conceptualization ability. - Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and suffici conceptualization ability. - Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophi	
f)Capacities to continue learning 1. Deepening of the knowledge of concepts and of previous courses.Final exam and grading criteriaThe final grade is awarded out of thirty. The exam is passed when the grad greater than or equal to 18.The criteria followed for the evaluation of learning outcomes, expressed thirtieths, are: - Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in program and poor conceptualization ability. - Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and suffici conceptualization ability. - Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophi	
1. Deepening of the knowledge of concepts and of previous courses.Final exam and grading criteriaThe final grade is awarded out of thirty. The exam is passed when the grad greater than or equal to 18.The criteria followed for the evaluation of learning outcomes, expressed thirtieths, are: - Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in program and poor conceptualization ability. - Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and suffici conceptualization ability. - Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophi	
Final exam and grading criteriaThe final grade is awarded out of thirty. The exam is passed when the grad greater than or equal to 18.The criteria followed for the evaluation of learning outcomes, expressed thirtieths, are: - Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in program and poor conceptualization ability. - Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and suffici conceptualization ability. - Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophi	
greater than or equal to 18. The criteria followed for the evaluation of learning outcomes, expressed thirtieths, are: - Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in program and poor conceptualization ability. - Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and sufficient conceptualization ability. - Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophil	e is
thirtieths, are: - Insufficient: 0-17 Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in program and poor conceptualization ability. - Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and sufficient conceptualization ability. - Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophil	
Lack of, incomplete and inadequate knowledge of the topics contained in program and poor conceptualization ability. - Sufficient: 18-20 Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and sufficient conceptualization ability. - Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophi	in
Sufficient knowledge of the topics contained in the program and sufficient conceptualization ability. - Fair: 21-23 Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophi	he
Fair knowledge of the topics contained in the program; reasonable ability argue and connect the various topics covered; appropriate use of philosophi	ent
political concepts. - Good: 24-26	
Good knowledge of the topics contained in the program and good in-de skills; appropriate use of philosophical-political concepts and presence of crit skills. - Distinct: 27-28	
More than good knowledge of all the topics contained in the program; m than good ability for in-depth analysis and connection between different top mastery of philosophical-political concepts and propensity for critical reason - Excellent: 29-30	cs;
Excellent knowledge of all the topics contained in the program; excellent ab to delve deeper and connect different topics; strong critical skills and excell command of philosophical-political concepts. - Excellent: 30L	-
Excellent knowledge of all topics contained in the program; excellent ability delve deeper and connect different topics; strong critical skills and excell command of philosophical-political concepts.	
Further information	